



Attorney's Docket No. 018360/204167

PATENT

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re: Nicholls et al.

Appl. No.: 09/315,680

Filed: May 20, 1999

For: LOGISTICS SYSTEM FOR AUTOMATING TRANSPORTATION OF GOODS

Group Art Unit: 2164

Examiner: Poinvil, F.

#10  
n.e.  
D. Carter  
9/26/01

September 18, 2001

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION**

Sir:

This is a supplemental response to the Office Action dated March 30, 2001. Enclosed herewith is a reissue oath/declaration meeting the requirements of 37 CFR 1.175. Please note that filed concurrently herewith under a separate transmittal form is a Revocation of Power of Attorney and a New Power of Attorney signed by the Assignee.

**REMARKS**

The Office Action of March 30, 2001 indicated that the reissue oath/declaration filed with the application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application, as required by 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) (see Office Action Page 2, Item 1). More specifically, the Office Action indicated that the oath/declaration fails to identify at least one single word, phrase or expression in the specification or in an original claim, and how it renders the original patent wholly or partly inoperative or invalid.

**RECEIVED**  
SEP 25 2001  
Technology Center 2100